

LWVC BILL STATUS REPORT October 15, 2009

Campaign Finance

- [AB 7](#) **(Krekorian) Political Reform Act of 1974.** (A-08/17/2009 [html](#) [pdf](#))
Status: 08/19/2009-Read second time. To third reading.
Summary: Would require, with specified exceptions, a broadcast or mass mailing advertisement supporting or opposing a candidate or ballot measure that is paid for by an independent expenditure to include a statement or phrase that clearly identifies the economic or other special interest of the major donors of \$50,000 or more, listing the economic or other special interest in descending order based on the amount of contributions made by the respective donor to the committee, except for a general interest committee. The bill would also require a committee that files electronically with the Secretary of State to list the Secretary of State's Internet Web site in its disclosure statement. A committee not required to file electronically would be required to disclose the Uniform Resource Locator for its Internet Web site and to list the committee's principal officer and specified information regarding each donor to the committee who contributed at least \$100. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.
Vote Events:
07/07/2009 SEN. E.,R. & C.A. (Y:3 N:2 A:0) (P)
06/02/2009 ASM. FLOOR (Y:61 N:15 A:4) (P)
05/20/2009 ASM. APPR. (Y:12 N:2 A:2) (P)
04/30/2009 ASM. E. & R. (Y:6 N:0 A:1) (P)
Position: Support
Subject: Campaign Finance,
- [AB 1181](#) **(Huber) Political Reform Act of 1974: statements and reports.** (A-08/26/2009 [html](#) [pdf](#))
Status: 08/27/2009-Read second time. To third reading.
Summary: Would provide that if a late contribution or late independent expenditure is required to be reported to the Secretary of State, the report to the Secretary of State shall be by online or electronic transmission only. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.
Vote Events:
07/07/2009 SEN. E.,R. & C.A. (Y:4 N:1 A:0) (P)
05/28/2009 ASM. FLOOR (Y:76 N:1 A:3) (P)
05/13/2009 ASM. APPR. (Y:14 N:2 A:0) (P)
04/21/2009 ASM. E. & R. (Y:6 N:1 A:0) (P)
Position: Support
Subject: Campaign Finance,
- [AB 1322](#) **(Huffman) Political Reform Act of 1974: campaign disclosures.** (A-08/17/2009 [html](#) [pdf](#))
Status: 09/03/2009-Read third time. Urgency clause refused adoption. Passage refused in Senate. (Ayes 26. Noes 14. Page 2176.) Motion to reconsider made by Senator Calderon. Reconsideration granted. (Ayes 40. Noes 0. Page 2177.)
Summary: Would require that the advertisement identify the name of the independent expenditure committee that purchased it and would, for specified advertisements, additionally require that the disclosure statement identify an Internet Web site address where the committee's donors are listed. Committees that are required to file electronically with the Secretary of State would be required to list the Secretary of State's Internet Web site address on their disclosure statements, and a committee that is not required to register electronically would be required to include a Uniform Resource Locator for an Internet Web site address that identifies the committee's principal officer and lists the information on donors who have contributed \$100 or more to the committee, as specified.

The bill would authorize the Secretary of State to include information on how voters can determine who is funding campaigns and campaign-related communications on the ballot pamphlet, as long as it can be included without increasing the number of pages and to include a statement describing the types of campaign contributions, applicable contribution limits, and the role of independent expenditures. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Vote Events:

09/03/2009 SEN. FLOOR (Y:40 N:0 A:0) (P)
 07/07/2009 SEN. E.,R. & C.A. (Y:3 N:2 A:0) (P)
 05/11/2009 ASM. FLOOR (Y:78 N:0 A:2) (P)
 04/29/2009 ASM. APPR. (Y:16 N:0 A:0) (P)
 04/21/2009 ASM. E. & R. (Y:7 N:0 A:0) (P)

Position: Support

Subject: Campaign Finance,

[AB 1514](#) ([Hayashi](#)) Political Reform Act of 1974: reporting. (A-08/26/2009 [html](#) [pdf](#))

Status: 09/11/2009-To inactive file on motion of Senator DeSaulnier.

Summary: Would require a slate mailer organization to file semiannual campaign statements for every 6-month period, irrespective of whether the organization received payments or made expenditures for that period. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Vote Events:

09/09/2009 SEN. FLOOR (Y:40 N:0 A:0) (P)
 07/07/2009 SEN. E.,R. & C.A. (Y:3 N:1 A:1) (P)
 05/21/2009 ASM. FLOOR (Y:77 N:0 A:3) (P)
 05/13/2009 ASM. APPR. (Y:15 N:1 A:0) (P)
 04/21/2009 ASM. E. & R. (Y:6 N:0 A:1) (P)

Position: Support

Subject: Campaign Finance,

Children Family Issues

[AB 12](#) ([Beall](#)) California Fostering Connections to Success Act. (A-04/29/2009 [html](#) [pdf](#))

Status: 06/02/2009-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(5). (Last location was APPR. SUSPENSE FILE on 05/20/2009)

Summary: Would extend these provisions to also include nonminor dependents. The bill would define the term "nonminor dependent" and related terms for purposes of the bill. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Vote Events:

04/14/2009 ASM. HUM. S. (Y:5 N:0 A:2) (P)

Position: Support

Subject: Juvenile Justice/Dependency,Children Family Issues,

[AB 669](#) ([Fong](#)) Postsecondary education: residency requirements. (C-10/11/2009 [html](#) [pdf](#))

Status: 10/11/2009-Chaptered by the Secretary of State, Chapter Number 251, Statutes of 2009

Summary: Would allow an exception to the uniform residency requirements for a student 19 years of age or under at the time of enrollment, who resides in California and is a dependent or ward of the state through California's child welfare system, or was served by California's child welfare system and is no longer being served either due to emancipation or aging out of the system.

Vote Events:

09/10/2009 ASM. FLOOR (Y:76 N:0 A:4) (P)
 09/08/2009 SEN. FLOOR (Y:40 N:0 A:0) (P)
 07/13/2009 SEN. APPR. (Y:12 N:0 A:1) (P)
 06/17/2009 SEN. ED. (Y:9 N:0 A:0) (P)
 05/14/2009 ASM. FLOOR (Y:73 N:0 A:7) (P)
 05/05/2009 ASM. HIGHER ED. (Y:9 N:0 A:0) (P)

Position: Support

Subject: Juvenile Justice/Dependency,Children Family Issues,

[AB 1048](#) ([Torrico](#)) **Child protection: safe surrender. (A-07/16/2009 [html](#) [pdf](#))**

Status: 09/11/2009-To inactive file on motion of Senator Romero.

Summary: Would expand the scope of those provisions to apply to children who are 30 days old or younger. The bill would permit a local fire agency, upon the approval of the appropriate local governing body of the agency, to designate a safe-surrender site. The bill would specify certain circumstances in which a safe-surrender site and its personnel have no liability for a surrendered child. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Vote Events:

08/17/2009 SEN. APPR. (Y:9 N:3 A:1) (P)

07/07/2009 SEN. JUD. (Y:4 N:1 A:0) (P)

06/03/2009 ASM. FLOOR (Y:67 N:9 A:4) (P)

05/28/2009 ASM. APPR. (Y:14 N:3 A:0) (P)

04/21/2009 ASM. JUD. (Y:8 N:2 A:0) (P)

04/14/2009 ASM. A18PUB. S. (Y:5 N:0 A:2) (P)

Position: Support

Subject: Juvenile Justice/Dependency,Children Family Issues,

[AB 1393](#) ([Skinner](#)) **Foster youth. (C-10/11/2009 [html](#) [pdf](#))**

Status: 10/11/2009-Chaptered by the Secretary of State, Chapter Number 391, Statutes of 2009

Summary: Would request community college campuses to give priority for housing to current and former foster youth. The bill would also request community college campuses that maintain student housing facilities open for occupation during school breaks, or on a year-round basis, to give first priority to current and former foster youth for residence in the housing facilities that are open for uninterrupted year-round occupation, and next give priority to current and former foster youth for housing that is open for occupation during the most days in the calendar year. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Vote Events:

08/31/2009 ASM. FLOOR (Y:77 N:0 A:3) (P)

08/27/2009 SEN. FLOOR (Y:32 N:2 A:6) (P)

06/17/2009 SEN. ED. (Y:7 N:0 A:2) (P)

05/11/2009 ASM. FLOOR (Y:80 N:0 A:0) (P)

04/29/2009 ASM. APPR. (Y:16 N:0 A:0) (P)

04/21/2009 ASM. HIGHER ED. (Y:9 N:0 A:0) (P)

Position: Support

Subject: Juvenile Justice/Dependency,Children Family Issues,

Coastal

[AJR 3](#) ([Nava](#)) **Offshore oil drilling. (A-08/27/2009 [html](#) [pdf](#))**

Status: 08/31/2009-Read second time. To third reading.

Summary: Would request that the Congress of the United States reinstate the federal offshore oil and gas leasing moratorium for the 2009 fiscal year and beyond. This measure would also memorialize the Legislature's opposition to the proposed expansion of oil and gas drilling off the Pacific Coast and any federal energy policies and legislation that would weaken California's role in energy siting decisions by those policies.

Vote Events:

07/06/2009 SEN. N.R. & W. (Y:7 N:3 A:1) (P)

04/20/2009 ASM. FLOOR (Y:43 N:25 A:11) (P)

04/13/2009 ASM. NAT. RES. (Y:6 N:3 A:0) (P)

Position: Support

Subject: Coastal,

Community College System

[AB 440](#) (**[Beall](#)**) **California Community Colleges: student transfer.** (A-07/02/2009 [html](#) [pdf](#))
Status: 07/15/2009-In committee: Set second hearing. Failed passage. Reconsideration granted.

Summary: Would enact the Associate Degree and Recognition of Student Transfer Preparation Act, which would authorize a community college to grant an associate degree in the student's field of study, that is designated as being "for transfer," to a student who completes 60 transferable semester units or 27 quarter units, as specified, and meets the minimum requirements for transfer to a public university or alternative path to transfer program. The bill would prohibit a community college district from imposing any requirements, in addition to these requirements, for the granting of an associate degree with the "for transfer" designation . This bill contains other existing laws.

Vote Events:

07/15/2009 SEN. ED. (Y:8 N:0 A:1) (P)
 07/15/2009 SEN. ED. (Y:4 N:3 A:2) (F)
 05/18/2009 ASM. FLOOR (Y:66 N:8 A:6) (P)
 05/05/2009 ASM. HIGHER ED. (Y:8 N:0 A:1) (P)

Position: Support

Subject: Community College System,

[SB 19](#) (**[Simitian](#)**) **Education data.** (C-10/11/2009 [html](#) [pdf](#))
Status: 10/11/2009-Chaptered by the Secretary of State, Chapter Number 159, Statutes of 2009

Summary: Would additionally require that data elements and codes included in the California Education Information System be maintained in compliance with any other applicable federal or state law that can be interpreted as protecting the privacy and confidentiality of individual pupils or certificated personnel. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Vote Events:

09/11/2009 ASM. FLOOR (Y:53 N:20 A:7) (P)
 09/11/2009 SEN. FLOOR (Y:31 N:7 A:2) (P)
 09/04/2009 ASM. APPR. (Y:10 N:0 A:7) (P)
 09/03/2009 ASM. ED. (Y:8 N:0 A:3) (P)
 07/01/2009 ASM. ED. (Y:7 N:2 A:2) (P)
 05/26/2009 SEN. FLOOR (Y:35 N:2 A:3) (P)
 04/22/2009 SEN. ED. (Y:9 N:0 A:0) (P)

Position: Support

Subject: Education Pre K-12,Community College System,

Education Pre K-12

[AB 8](#) (**[Brownley](#)**) **Education finance: working group.** (V-10/11/2009 [html](#) [pdf](#))
Status: 10/11/2009-Vetoed by the Governor

Summary: Would express findings and declarations of the Legislature with respect to the school funding system in the state. The bill would require the Director of Finance and the Legislative Analyst to convene a working group to make findings and recommendations to the Legislature and the Governor on or before December 1, 2010, regarding restructuring California's school finance system. The bill would require those findings and recommendations to include, among other things, alternative structures for funding public schools, the policy and fiscal implications of the alternative funding structure or structures, and an evaluation mechanism to facilitate continuous improvement, maximum transparency, and accountability of the funding structures. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Governor's Message: I am returning Assembly Bill 8 without my signature. I continue to

support reforming the school finance system to make it less complex and more transparent to parents, teachers, and the public. However, this bill merely authorizes the convening of yet another working group that can be accomplished without statutory authorization. I am concerned that this bill provides the appearance of activity without actually translating to achievement. The lack of urgency in voting on the substantive issues put forth in the education Special Session can be seen as yet another example of that appearance. Since nothing under current law prohibits the objectives of this bill from being met, it is unnecessary. For these reasons, I am unable to sign this bill. Sincerely, Arnold Schwarzenegger

Vote Events:

09/10/2009 ASM. FLOOR (Y:79 N:0 A:1) (P)
 09/09/2009 SEN. FLOOR (Y:31 N:6 A:3) (P)
 08/27/2009 SEN. APPR. (Y:10 N:3 A:0) (P)
 08/17/2009 SEN. APPR. (Y:13 N:0 A:0) (P)
 07/15/2009 SEN. ED. (Y:8 N:0 A:1) (P)
 06/02/2009 ASM. FLOOR (Y:78 N:0 A:2) (P)
 05/28/2009 ASM. APPR. (Y:17 N:0 A:0) (P)
 04/01/2009 ASM. ED. (Y:11 N:0 A:0) (P)

Position: Support

Subject: Education Pre K-12,

AB 267 (**Torlakson**) **Education finance districts: taxes.** (V-10/11/2009 [html](#) [pdf](#))

Status: 10/11/2009-Vetoed by the Governor

Summary: Would authorize school districts, under certain conditions, to create an education finance district that may impose, within the education finance district, a qualified special tax pursuant to specified procedures.

Governor's Message: I am returning Assembly Bill 267 without my signature. The education finance district model proposed by this bill could allow for manipulation of parcel tax boundaries for the purpose of achieving the desired election outcome. I am concerned that voters and property owners in one county or school district could be subject to an increased special tax based on votes generated predominately in another county or school district. For these reasons, I am unable to sign this bill. Sincerely, Arnold Schwarzenegger

Vote Events:

09/10/2009 ASM. FLOOR (Y:48 N:29 A:3) (P)
 09/03/2009 SEN. FLOOR (Y:24 N:14 A:2) (P)
 07/08/2009 SEN. ED. (Y:6 N:3 A:0) (P)
 07/08/2009 SEN. REV. & TAX. (Y:5 N:3 A:0) (P)
 06/03/2009 ASM. FLOOR (Y:46 N:30 A:4) (P)
 05/11/2009 ASM. REV. & TAX. (Y:6 N:3 A:0) (P)

Position: Support

Subject: Education Pre K-12, State and Local Finance,

ACA 10 (**Torlakson**) **Taxation: Education Finance District: special tax.** (I-02/11/2009 [html](#) [pdf](#))

Status: 05/29/2009-From committee: Be adopted. (Ayes 12. Noes 5.) (May 28). Read second time. To third reading.

Summary: Would instead condition the imposition, extension, or increase of a special tax by an education finance district established pursuant to statute upon the approval of a majority of the voters of the district voting on that tax, and would also make conforming changes to related provisions.

Vote Events:

05/28/2009 ASM. APPR. (Y:12 N:5 A:0) (P)
 05/11/2009 ASM. REV. & TAX. (Y:5 N:3 A:1) (P)

Position: Support

Subject: Education Pre K-12, State and Local Finance,

SB 19 (**Simitian**) **Education data.** (C-10/11/2009 [html](#) [pdf](#))

Status: 10/11/2009-Chaptered by the Secretary of State, Chapter Number 159, Statutes of 2009

Summary: Would additionally require that data elements and codes included in the California Education Information System be maintained in compliance with any other

applicable federal or state law that can be interpreted as protecting the privacy and confidentiality of individual pupils or certificated personnel. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Vote Events:

09/11/2009 ASM. FLOOR (Y:53 N:20 A:7) (P)
 09/11/2009 SEN. FLOOR (Y:31 N:7 A:2) (P)
 09/04/2009 ASM. APPR. (Y:10 N:0 A:7) (P)
 09/03/2009 ASM. ED. (Y:8 N:0 A:3) (P)
 07/01/2009 ASM. ED. (Y:7 N:2 A:2) (P)
 05/26/2009 SEN. FLOOR (Y:35 N:2 A:3) (P)
 04/22/2009 SEN. ED. (Y:9 N:0 A:0) (P)

Position: Support

Subject: Education Pre K-12,Community College System,

SCA 6

(Simitian) Taxation: educational entities: parcel tax. (A-06/08/2009 [html](#) [pdf](#))

Status: 06/15/2009-Read second time. To third reading.

Summary: Would alternatively condition the imposition, extension, or increase of a parcel tax, as defined, by a school district, community college district, or county office of education upon the approval of 55% of its voters voting on the proposition, and would also make conforming changes to related provisions.

Vote Events:

06/11/2009 SEN. E.,R. & C.A. (Y:3 N:1 A:1) (P)
 05/13/2009 SEN. REV. & TAX. (Y:5 N:3 A:0) (P)

Position: Support

Subject: Education Pre K-12,State and Local Finance,

Election Systems

AB 1121

(Davis) Elections: ranked voting. (A-08/25/2009 [html](#) [pdf](#))

Status: 09/10/2009-Read third time, passage refused. (Ayes 20. Noes 19. Page 2394.)

Summary: Would authorize the Secretary of State to approve not more than 12 cities or counties, in total, to conduct a local election using ranked voting if specified conditions are met. The bill would specify requirements for using ranked voting in both a single-candidate election and a multiple-candidate election. The bill would require local elections officials to make certain reports relating to ranked voting elections and would also require those cities and counties to report on the success of conducting those elections to the Legislative Analyst, who would be required to report to the Legislature and make recommendations on the ranked voting elections. The bill would end the authority of cities and counties to adopt ranked voting on January 1, 2019, unless a later statute enacted prior to January 1, 2019, deletes or extends that date, but would allow such elections to be conducted until January 1, 2024.

Vote Events:

09/10/2009 SEN. FLOOR (Y:20 N:19 A:1) (F)
 09/03/2009 SEN. FLOOR (Y:36 N:0 A:4) (P)
 08/17/2009 SEN. APPR. (Y:7 N:4 A:2) (P)
 07/07/2009 SEN. E.,R. & C.A. (Y:3 N:2 A:0) (P)
 06/01/2009 ASM. FLOOR (Y:45 N:30 A:5) (P)
 05/28/2009 ASM. APPR. (Y:10 N:6 A:1) (P)
 04/21/2009 ASM. E. & R. (Y:5 N:1 A:1) (P)

Position: Support

Subject: Election Systems,

Energy

AB 64

(Krekorian) Energy: renewable energy resources: generation and transmission. (V-

10/11/2009 [html](#) [pdf](#))

Status: 10/11/2009-Vetoed by the Governor

Summary: Would make conforming changes to terms used in the Renewable Energy Resources Program statutes that would be made by SB 14 of the 2009-10 Regular Session. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Governor's Message: I am returning Assembly Bill 64 without my signature. I support the intent of this and other measures to increase California's Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS) target to 33% by 2020. However, as drafted this measure would make it more difficult and costly to achieve this very important goal. As a world leader in climate change and renewable energy development, California needs a regional approach that provides streamlined regulatory processes and compliance flexibility that facilitate the timely construction of in-state resources. This legislative package does the opposite ? adds new regulatory hurdles to permitting renewable resources in the state, at the same time limiting the importation of cost-effective renewable energy from other states in the West. On November 17, 2008, I issued Executive Order S-14-08, which sets a target that all retail sellers of electricity shall serve 33% of their load with renewable energy by 2020. On September 15, 2009, in order to keep us moving forward, I directed California Air Resources Board (CARB), in Executive Order S-21-09, to adopt regulations that increase procurement of renewable resources in furtherance of the Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006 (AB 32, Statutes of 2006) and its emission reduction goals. The CARB's scoping document for the Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006 determined that achieving 33% RPS is a critical component in the fight against global warming. I expect CARB to complete the regulations implementing the 33% RPS by the fall of 2010. I remain ready to sign legislation that codifies a workable 33% RPS mandate. California has a rare opportunity to champion the development of renewable energy and reduce greenhouse gas emissions in-state and beyond. We must seize the chance to lay the foundation for a regional effort that optimizes resources throughout the West at a lower cost to ratepayers. Sincerely, Arnold Schwarzenegger

Vote Events:

09/12/2009 ASM. FLOOR (Y:50 N:28 A:2) (P)
 09/11/2009 SEN. FLOOR (Y:23 N:14 A:3) (P)
 09/10/2009 SEN. E.,U. & C. (Y:6 N:3 A:2) (P)
 08/27/2009 SEN. APPR. (Y:8 N:5 A:0) (P)
 08/17/2009 SEN. APPR. (Y:13 N:0 A:0) (P)
 07/07/2009 SEN. E.,U. & C. (Y:6 N:5 A:0) (P)
 06/03/2009 ASM. FLOOR (Y:44 N:31 A:5) (P)
 05/28/2009 ASM. APPR. (Y:12 N:5 A:0) (P)
 04/29/2009 ASM. NAT. RES. (Y:6 N:3 A:0) (P)
 04/01/2009 ASM. U. & C. (Y:8 N:5 A:2) (P)

Position: Support

Subject: Energy,

SB 14 **(Simitian) Utilities: renewable energy resources.** (V-10/11/2009 [html](#) [pdf](#))

Status: 10/11/2009-Vetoed by the Governor

Summary: Would revise the Renewable Energy Resources Program to state the intent of the Legislature to increase the amount of electricity generated from eligible renewable energy resources per year, so that amount equals at least 33% of total retail sales of electricity in California per year by December 31, 2020. The bill would revise certain terms used in the program and revise certain eligibility criteria for a renewable electrical generation facility, as defined, pursuant to the program. The bill would require the Energy Commission, by May 31, 2010, to report to the Legislature whether out-of-state, run-of-river hydroelectric generating facilities should be considered renewable electric generating facilities, as defined. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Governor's Message: I am returning Senate Bill 14 without my signature. I support the intent of this and other measures to increase California's Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS) target to 33% by 2020. However, as drafted this measure would make it more difficult and costly to achieve this very important goal. As a world leader in climate change and renewable energy development, California needs a regional approach that provides streamlined regulatory processes and compliance flexibility that facilitate the timely construction of in-state resources. This legislative package does the opposite ? adds new regulatory hurdles to permitting renewable resources in the state, at the same time limiting

the importation of cost-effective renewable energy from other states in the West. On November 17, 2008, I issued Executive Order S-14-08, which sets a target that all retail sellers of electricity shall serve 33% of their load with renewable energy by 2020. On September 15, 2009, in order to keep us moving forward, I directed California Air Resources Board (CARB), in Executive Order S-21-09, to adopt regulations that increase procurement of renewable resources in furtherance of the Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006 (AB 32, Statutes of 2006) and its emission reduction goals. The CARB's scoping document for the Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006 determined that achieving 33% RPS is a critical component in the fight against global warming. I expect CARB to complete the regulations implementing the 33% RPS by the fall of 2010. I remain ready to sign legislation that codifies a workable 33% RPS mandate. California has a rare opportunity to champion the development of renewable energy and reduce greenhouse gas emissions in-state and beyond. We must seize the chance to lay the foundation for a regional effort that optimizes resources throughout the West at a lower cost to ratepayers. Sincerely, Arnold Schwarzenegger

Vote Events:

09/12/2009 ASM. FLOOR (Y:49 N:28 A:3) (P)
 09/12/2009 SEN. FLOOR (Y:22 N:13 A:5) (P)
 09/09/2009 ASM. U. & C. (Y:10 N:5 A:0) (P)
 09/04/2009 ASM. FLOOR (Y:43 N:28 A:9) (P)
 08/27/2009 ASM. APPR. (Y:12 N:5 A:0) (P)
 07/08/2009 ASM. NAT. RES. (Y:5 N:3 A:1) (P)
 07/06/2009 ASM. U. & C. (Y:10 N:5 A:0) (P)
 03/31/2009 SEN. FLOOR (Y:21 N:16 A:3) (P)
 03/23/2009 SEN. APPR. (Y:7 N:5 A:1) (P)
 03/16/2009 SEN. APPR. (Y:10 N:0 A:3) (P)
 03/03/2009 SEN. E.,U. & C. (Y:6 N:3 A:2) (P)

Position: Support

Subject: Energy,

Hazardous Materials

AB 1131 (**Feuer**) Hazardous materials: green chemistry and accidental releases. (A-

04/20/2009 [html](#) [pdf](#))

Status: 06/02/2009-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(5). (Last location was APPR. on 05/28/2009)

Summary: Would additionally require the department to establish programs for life cycle toxic reduction to reduce the use, generation, release, or disposal of a chemical of concern as identified by the department during a product life cycle. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Vote Events:

04/28/2009 ASM. E.S. & T.M. (Y:5 N:2 A:0) (P)

Position: Support

Subject: Hazardous Materials,

Health Care

SB 810 (**Leno**) Single-payer health care coverage. (A-04/23/2009 [html](#) [pdf](#))

Status: 06/02/2009-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(5). (Last location was APPR. SUSPENSE FILE on 05/28/2009)

Summary: Would establish the California Healthcare System to be administered by the newly created California Healthcare Agency under the control of a Healthcare Commissioner appointed by the Governor and subject to confirmation by the Senate. The bill would make all California residents eligible for specified health care benefits under the California Healthcare System, which would, on a single-payer basis, negotiate for or set fees for health care services provided through the system and pay claims for those

services. The bill would provide that a resident of the state with a household income, as specified, at or below 200% of the federal poverty level would be eligible for the type of benefits provided under the Medi-Cal program. The bill would require the commissioner to seek all necessary waivers, exemptions, agreements, or legislation to allow various existing federal, state, and local health care payments to be paid to the California Healthcare System, which would then assume responsibility for all benefits and services previously paid for with those funds. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Vote Events:

05/04/2009 SEN. APPR. (Y:11 N:0 A:2) (P)

04/15/2009 SEN. HEALTH (Y:7 N:4 A:0) (P)

Position: Support

Subject: Health Care,

Initiative and Referendum

[AB 436](#) **(Saldana) Elections: initiatives.** (V-10/11/2009 [html](#) [pdf](#))

Status: 10/11/2009-Vetoed by the Governor

Summary: Would instead require that a new fee from \$500 in 2010 to \$2,000 in 2016 be paid in lieu of current fees. The bill would require the fee to be refunded if the measure qualifies for the ballot within 2 years and to be deposited into the General Fund if it does not qualify, to be used to reimburse the Attorney General for the costs of preparing the title and summary of proposed initiative measures. The bill would require in 2018 that the Attorney General biennially review the fee and increase it in accordance with an increase in the California Consumer Price Index.

Governor's Message: I am returning Assembly Bill 436 without my signature. This bill would incrementally increase the current fee that proponents of an initiative are required to pay at the time of submitting the draft of the measure to the Attorney General (AG). Under the provisions of this bill the fee would increase from \$200 to \$2,000 in 2016. The original fee was established to deter frivolous filings; this bill would fundamentally alter the purpose of the fees to instead be used to pay the administrative costs borne by the AG. Using the fees to reimburse the AG for actual costs sets a precedent of allowing the fees to increase to the point that it would significantly deter grassroots and volunteer efforts to qualify a measure. Whether or not the fee should be increased to reflect an amount that would deter frivolous filings today is a separate question than how the fees should be defined and distributed. For this reason I am unable to sign this bill. Sincerely, Arnold Schwarzenegger

Vote Events:

09/10/2009 ASM. FLOOR (Y:49 N:29 A:2) (P)

09/09/2009 SEN. FLOOR (Y:21 N:18 A:1) (P)

07/07/2009 SEN. E.,R. & C.A. (Y:3 N:2 A:0) (P)

05/28/2009 ASM. FLOOR (Y:47 N:28 A:5) (P)

04/22/2009 ASM. APPR. (Y:10 N:5 A:1) (P)

03/31/2009 ASM. E. & R. (Y:5 N:2 A:0) (P)

Position: Support

Subject: Initiative and Referendum,

Juvenile Justice/Dependency

[AB 12](#) **(Beall) California Fostering Connections to Success Act.** (A-04/29/2009 [html](#) [pdf](#))

Status: 06/02/2009-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(5). (Last location was APPR. SUSPENSE FILE on 05/20/2009)

Summary: Would extend these provisions to also include nonminor dependents. The bill would define the term "nonminor dependent" and related terms for purposes of the bill. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Vote Events:

04/14/2009 ASM. HUM. S. (Y:5 N:0 A:2) (P)

Position: Support

Subject: Juvenile Justice/Dependency,Children Family Issues,

[AB 669](#) (Fong) Postsecondary education: residency requirements. (C-10/11/2009 [html](#) [pdf](#))

Status: 10/11/2009-Chaptered by the Secretary of State, Chapter Number 251, Statutes of 2009

Summary: Would allow an exception to the uniform residency requirements for a student 19 years of age or under at the time of enrollment, who resides in California and is a dependent or ward of the state through California's child welfare system, or was served by California's child welfare system and is no longer being served either due to emancipation or aging out of the system.

Vote Events:

09/10/2009 ASM. FLOOR (Y:76 N:0 A:4) (P)

09/08/2009 SEN. FLOOR (Y:40 N:0 A:0) (P)

07/13/2009 SEN. APPR. (Y:12 N:0 A:1) (P)

06/17/2009 SEN. ED. (Y:9 N:0 A:0) (P)

05/14/2009 ASM. FLOOR (Y:73 N:0 A:7) (P)

05/05/2009 ASM. HIGHER ED. (Y:9 N:0 A:0) (P)

Position: Support

Subject: Juvenile Justice/Dependency,Children Family Issues,

[AB 999](#) (Skinner) Juveniles: Division of Juvenile Facilities. (A-06/30/2009 [html](#) [pdf](#))

Status: 09/08/2009-To inactive file on motion of Senator Yee.

Summary: Would revise and recast these provisions by including good behavior time credits and program time credits among the powers and duties of the division. The bill would require the projected board date, formerly referred to as the parole consideration date, of a ward to be advanced no less than one day earlier for every day of satisfactory performance, as defined, in one or more credit qualifying programs, including performance in education, rehabilitation, therapeutic, work, or other programs meant to prepare a ward for successful reentry into society. The bill would require the division to promulgate policies and regulations implementing a divisionwide system of graduated sanctions for addressing ward disciplinary matters. The bill would prohibit the division from extending or postponing a ward's projected board date, but would permit forfeiture of not more than 6 months of combined program and good behavior credits for sustained serious misconduct. The bill would require the division to provide a document signed by a division official to each ward defining what conduct constitutes serious misconduct. The bill would also provide that program credits earned before January 1, 2010, would be honored. The bill would require the division to allow wards who received projected board date extensions after January 1, 2009, and before January 1, 2010, and who have successfully responded to disciplinary sanctions a reinstatement of up to 100% of the time added.

Vote Events:

07/13/2009 SEN. APPR. (Y:7 N:5 A:1) (P)

06/23/2009 SEN. PUB. S. (Y:5 N:2 A:0) (P)

05/21/2009 ASM. FLOOR (Y:45 N:30 A:5) (P)

05/13/2009 ASM. APPR. (Y:11 N:5 A:0) (P)

04/28/2009 ASM. A18PUB. S. (Y:5 N:2 A:0) (P)

Position: Support

Subject: Juvenile Justice/Dependency,

[AB 1048](#) (Torrico) Child protection: safe surrender. (A-07/16/2009 [html](#) [pdf](#))

Status: 09/11/2009-To inactive file on motion of Senator Romero.

Summary: Would expand the scope of those provisions to apply to children who are 30 days old or younger. The bill would permit a local fire agency, upon the approval of the appropriate local governing body of the agency, to designate a safe-surrender site. The bill would specify certain circumstances in which a safe-surrender site and its personnel have no liability for a surrendered child. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Vote Events:

08/17/2009 SEN. APPR. (Y:9 N:3 A:1) (P)
 07/07/2009 SEN. JUD. (Y:4 N:1 A:0) (P)
 06/03/2009 ASM. FLOOR (Y:67 N:9 A:4) (P)
 05/28/2009 ASM. APPR. (Y:14 N:3 A:0) (P)
 04/21/2009 ASM. JUD. (Y:8 N:2 A:0) (P)
 04/14/2009 ASM. A18PUB. S. (Y:5 N:0 A:2) (P)

Subject: Juvenile Justice/Dependency,Children Family Issues,

AB 1393 **(Skinner) Foster youth.** (C-10/11/2009 [html](#) [pdf](#))

Status: 10/11/2009-Chaptered by the Secretary of State, Chapter Number 391, Statutes of 2009

Summary: Would request community college campuses to give priority for housing to current and former foster youth. The bill would also request community college campuses that maintain student housing facilities open for occupation during school breaks, or on a year-round basis, to give first priority to current and former foster youth for residence in the housing facilities that are open for uninterrupted year-round occupation, and next give priority to current and former foster youth for housing that is open for occupation during the most days in the calendar year. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Vote Events:

08/31/2009 ASM. FLOOR (Y:77 N:0 A:3) (P)
 08/27/2009 SEN. FLOOR (Y:32 N:2 A:6) (P)
 06/17/2009 SEN. ED. (Y:7 N:0 A:2) (P)
 05/11/2009 ASM. FLOOR (Y:80 N:0 A:0) (P)
 04/29/2009 ASM. APPR. (Y:16 N:0 A:0) (P)
 04/21/2009 ASM. HIGHER ED. (Y:9 N:0 A:0) (P)

Position: Support

Subject: Juvenile Justice/Dependency,Children Family Issues,

SB 399 **(Yee) Sentencing.** (A-06/25/2009 [html](#) [pdf](#))

Status: 06/30/2009-Set, second hearing. Failed passage in committee. Reconsideration granted.

Summary: Would authorize a prisoner who was under 18 years of age at the time of committing an offense for which the prisoner was sentenced to life without parole to submit a petition for recall and resentencing to the sentencing court, and to the prosecuting agency, as specified. The bill would establish certain criteria , at least one of which shall be asserted in the petition, to be considered when a court decides whether to conduct a hearing on the petition for recall and resentencing and additional criteria to be considered by the court when deciding whether to grant the petition. The bill would require the court to hold a hearing if the court finds that the defendant's statement is true , as specified. The bill would apply retroactively, as specified.

Vote Events:

06/30/2009 ASM. PUB. S. (Y:7 N:0 A:0) (P)
 06/30/2009 ASM. PUB. S. (Y:3 N:4 A:0) (F)
 06/02/2009 SEN. FLOOR (Y:23 N:15 A:2) (P)
 05/28/2009 SEN. APPR. (Y:7 N:5 A:1) (P)
 05/18/2009 SEN. APPR. (Y:13 N:0 A:0) (P)
 04/14/2009 SEN. PUB. S. (Y:5 N:2 A:0) (P)

Position: Support

Subject: Juvenile Justice/Dependency,

State and Local Finance

AB 178 **(Skinner) Sales and use taxes.** (I-02/02/2009 [html](#) [pdf](#))

Status: 05/01/2009-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(2). (Last location was REV. & TAX on 04/27/2009)

Summary: Would include in the definition of a "retailer engaging in business in this state" a

retailer entering into an agreement with a resident of this state under which the resident, for a commission or other consideration, directly or indirectly refers potential customers, whether by a link or an Internet Web site or otherwise, to the retailer, if the cumulative gross receipts or sales price from sales by the retailer to customers in this state who are referred pursuant to these agreements is in excess of \$10,000 during the preceding 4 calendar quarterly periods, except as specified.

Position: Support

Subject: State and Local Finance,

[AB 267](#) (**[Torlakson](#)**) **Education finance districts: taxes.** (V-10/11/2009 [html](#) [pdf](#))

Status: 10/11/2009-Vetoed by the Governor

Summary: Would authorize school districts, under certain conditions, to create an education finance district that may impose, within the education finance district, a qualified special tax pursuant to specified procedures.

Governor's Message: I am returning Assembly Bill 267 without my signature. The education finance district model proposed by this bill could allow for manipulation of parcel tax boundaries for the purpose of achieving the desired election outcome. I am concerned that voters and property owners in one county or school district could be subject to an increased special tax based on votes generated predominately in another county or school district. For these reasons, I am unable to sign this bill. Sincerely, Arnold Schwarzenegger

Vote Events:

09/10/2009 ASM. FLOOR (Y:48 N:29 A:3) (P)

09/03/2009 SEN. FLOOR (Y:24 N:14 A:2) (P)

07/08/2009 SEN. ED. (Y:6 N:3 A:0) (P)

07/08/2009 SEN. REV. & TAX. (Y:5 N:3 A:0) (P)

06/03/2009 ASM. FLOOR (Y:46 N:30 A:4) (P)

05/11/2009 ASM. REV. & TAX. (Y:6 N:3 A:0) (P)

Position: Support

Subject: Education Pre K-12, State and Local Finance,

[ACA 9](#) (**[Huffman](#)**) **Local government bonds: special taxes: voter approval.** (A-06/26/2009 [html](#) [pdf](#))

Status: 08/31/2009-From committee: Be adopted. (Ayes 12. Noes 5.) (August 27). Read second time. To third reading.

Summary: Would create an additional exception to the 1% limit for a rate imposed by a city, county, city and county, or special district to service bonded indebtedness, incurred to fund specified public improvements, facilities or buildings, and housing, and related costs, that is approved by 55% of the voters of the city, county, city and county, or special district, as applicable. This additional exception would apply only if the proposition approved by the voters results in bonded indebtedness that includes specified accountability requirements. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Vote Events:

08/27/2009 ASM. APPR. (Y:12 N:5 A:0) (P)

06/22/2009 ASM. REV. & TAX. (Y:6 N:3 A:0) (P)

05/06/2009 ASM. L. GOV. (Y:5 N:2 A:0) (P)

Position: Support

Subject: State and Local Finance,

[ACA 10](#) (**[Torlakson](#)**) **Taxation: Education Finance District: special tax.** (I-02/11/2009 [html](#) [pdf](#))

Status: 05/29/2009-From committee: Be adopted. (Ayes 12. Noes 5.) (May 28). Read second time. To third reading.

Summary: Would instead condition the imposition, extension, or increase of a special tax by an education finance district established pursuant to statute upon the approval of a majority of the voters of the district voting on that tax, and would also make conforming changes to related provisions.

Vote Events:

05/28/2009 ASM. APPR. (Y:12 N:5 A:0) (P)

05/11/2009 ASM. REV. & TAX. (Y:5 N:3 A:1) (P)

Position: Support

Subject: Education Pre K-12, State and Local Finance,

[SB 816](#) (**[Ducheny](#)**) **Property taxation.** (C-10/11/2009 [html](#) [pdf](#))
Status: 10/11/2009-Chaptered by the Secretary of State, Chapter Number 622, Statutes of 2009

Summary: Would expand the list of state and local agencies the assessor is required to disclose certain appraisal information to include the county recorder when conducting an investigation to determine whether a documentary transfer tax is imposed. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Vote Events:

09/10/2009 SEN. FLOOR (Y:28 N:11 A:1) (P)
09/09/2009 ASM. FLOOR (Y:49 N:27 A:4) (P)
07/08/2009 ASM. APPR. (Y:10 N:5 A:2) (P)
06/22/2009 ASM. REV. & TAX. (Y:6 N:3 A:0) (P)
05/18/2009 SEN. FLOOR (Y:32 N:2 A:6) (P)
04/22/2009 SEN. REV. & TAX. (Y:7 N:1 A:0) (P)

Position: Support

Subject: State and Local Finance,

[SCA 5](#) (**[Hancock](#)**) **State budget.** (I-12/02/2008 [html](#) [pdf](#))

Status: 09/01/2009-Read second time. To third reading.

Summary: Would exempt General Fund appropriations in the Budget Bill from the 2/3 vote requirement. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Vote Events:

08/27/2009 SEN. APPR. (Y:8 N:5 A:0) (P)
08/27/2009 SEN. APPR. (Y:13 N:0 A:0) (P)
08/25/2009 SEN. E.,R. & C.A. (Y:3 N:2 A:0) (P)

Position: Support

Subject: State and Local Finance,

[SCA 6](#) (**[Simitian](#)**) **Taxation: educational entities: parcel tax.** (A-06/08/2009 [html](#) [pdf](#))

Status: 06/15/2009-Read second time. To third reading.

Summary: Would alternatively condition the imposition, extension, or increase of a parcel tax, as defined, by a school district, community college district, or county office of education upon the approval of 55% of its voters voting on the proposition, and would also make conforming changes to related provisions.

Vote Events:

06/11/2009 SEN. E.,R. & C.A. (Y:3 N:1 A:1) (P)
05/13/2009 SEN. REV. & TAX. (Y:5 N:3 A:0) (P)

Position: Support

Subject: Education Pre K-12,State and Local Finance,

[SCA 9](#) (**[Ducheny](#)**) **Finance: state budget: taxes.** (I-01/26/2009 [html](#) [pdf](#))

Status: 02/05/2009-To Coms. on B. & F.R. and E., R. & C.A.

Summary: Would also exempt from this 2/3-vote requirement appropriations made in a Budget Bill, and appropriations made in a bill identified in the Budget Bill as containing only changes in law necessary to implement the Budget Bill. Instead, this measure would require that a Budget Bill, and any bill identified in the Budget Bill as containing only changes in law necessary to implement the Budget Bill, be passed by a 55% vote in each house. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Position: Support

Subject: State and Local Finance,

Voting Rights

[AB 30](#) (**[Price](#)**) **Elections: voter registration.** (C-10/11/2009 [html](#) [pdf](#))

Status: 10/11/2009-Chaptered by the Secretary of State, Chapter Number 364, Statutes of 2009

Summary: Would authorize a person who is at least 17 years of age and otherwise meets

all voter eligibility requirements to submit his or her affidavit of registration. The affidavit of registration would be deemed effective as soon as the affiant would be 18 years of age at the time of the next election. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Vote Events:

09/10/2009 ASM. FLOOR (Y:50 N:28 A:2) (P)
 09/03/2009 SEN. FLOOR (Y:22 N:15 A:3) (P)
 08/27/2009 SEN. APPR. (Y:8 N:5 A:0) (P)
 08/17/2009 SEN. APPR. (Y:13 N:0 A:0) (P)
 07/07/2009 SEN. E.,R. & C.A. (Y:3 N:2 A:0) (P)
 05/21/2009 ASM. FLOOR (Y:49 N:29 A:2) (P)
 05/06/2009 ASM. APPR. (Y:11 N:5 A:0) (P)
 03/31/2009 ASM. E. & R. (Y:5 N:2 A:0) (P)

Position: Support

Subject: Voting Rights,

[AB 84](#) (Hill) Vote by mail ballots. (V-10/11/2009 [html](#) [pdf](#))

Status: 10/11/2009-Vetoed by the Governor

Summary: Would further require the local elections official to establish a free access system by which a vote by mail voter may find out whether his or her ballot was counted and, if not, the reason why it was not counted, thereby imposing a state-mandated local program. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Governor's Message: I am returning Assembly Bill 84 without my signature. This bill would require elections officials to establish a free access system that allows a vote-by-mail (VBM) voter to determine if his or her ballot was counted. While I support the author's intent, I believe this will result in additional costs to local governments. Some counties use an existing system that tracks receipt of VBM ballots to provide this information to voters. I would encourage other counties to consider implementing the provisions of this bill if their resources allow, but cannot support mandating them to do so. Therefore, I am unable to sign this bill. Sincerely, Arnold Schwarzenegger

Vote Events:

08/27/2009 ASM. FLOOR (Y:74 N:0 A:6) (P)
 08/24/2009 SEN. FLOOR (Y:26 N:13 A:1) (P)
 06/29/2009 SEN. APPR. (Y:7 N:5 A:1) (P)
 06/16/2009 SEN. E.,R. & C.A. (Y:4 N:1 A:0) (P)
 04/30/2009 ASM. FLOOR (Y:70 N:0 A:10) (P)
 04/22/2009 ASM. APPR. (Y:11 N:4 A:1) (P)
 03/31/2009 ASM. E. & R. (Y:7 N:0 A:0) (P)

Position: Support

Subject: Voting Rights,

[AB 742](#) (Saldana) Elections: felony conviction statements. (V-10/11/2009 [html](#) [pdf](#))

Status: 10/11/2009-Vetoed by the Governor

Summary: Would specify that the court clerk's statement must include only those convicted felons who have been sentenced to prison.

Governor's Message: I am returning Assembly Bill 742 without my signature. Superior Court clerks throughout the state have worked with local elections officials to provide this information in a format that is most appropriate for their jurisdiction. Therefore this bill is unnecessary. For this reason I am unable to sign this bill. Sincerely, Arnold Schwarzenegger

Vote Events:

09/03/2009 SEN. FLOOR (Y:22 N:13 A:5) (P)
 07/07/2009 SEN. E.,R. & C.A. (Y:3 N:2 A:0) (P)
 05/28/2009 ASM. FLOOR (Y:50 N:29 A:1) (P)
 05/05/2009 ASM. E. & R. (Y:5 N:0 A:2) (P)

Position: Support

Subject: Voting Rights,

[AB 787](#) (Hill) Elections: vote by mail ballots. (A-06/01/2009 [html](#) [pdf](#))

Status: 08/27/2009-In committee: Held under submission.

Summary: Would require, if the elections official determines that more than one first-class stamp or the equivalent postage is required to return a vote by mail ballot, that the elections official provide a notification to the voter of how many first-class stamps or the equivalent postage is required. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Vote Events:

08/17/2009 SEN. APPR. (Y:13 N:0 A:0) (P)
07/07/2009 SEN. E.,R. & C.A. (Y:5 N:0 A:0) (P)
06/02/2009 ASM. FLOOR (Y:74 N:1 A:5) (P)
05/28/2009 ASM. APPR. (Y:13 N:4 A:0) (P)
04/30/2009 ASM. E. & R. (Y:7 N:0 A:0) (P)
04/23/2009 ASM. RLS. (Y:8 N:0 A:3) (P)

Position: Support

Subject: Voting Rights,

[AB 1308](#) ([Hagman](#)) Elections: registration. (A-04/02/2009 [html](#) [pdf](#))

Status: 06/02/2009-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(5). (Last location was APPR. on 04/13/2009)

Summary: Would additionally make a person on probation for the conviction of a felony not eligible to register to vote, and would require elections officials to cancel the affidavit of registration of those persons . This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Vote Events:

04/21/2009 ASM. E. & R. (Y:7 N:0 A:0) (P)
04/21/2009 ASM. E. & R. (Y:2 N:5 A:0) (F)

Position: Oppose

Subject: Voting Rights,

[AB 1356](#) ([Berryhill, Bill](#)) Elections: proof of identification. (A-04/13/2009 [html](#) [pdf](#))

Status: 05/01/2009-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(2). (Last location was TRANS. on 04/21/2009)

Summary: Would also require a person who desires to vote to show specified proof of identification. The bill would authorize a person who does not have proof of identification to vote a provisional ballot after completing an affidavit under penalty of perjury that he or she is a registered voter. The bill would require the Department of Motor Vehicles to waive the fee for an identification card requested for satisfying the voter identification requirement. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Vote Events:

04/21/2009 ASM. E. & R. (Y:7 N:0 A:0) (P)
04/21/2009 ASM. E. & R. (Y:2 N:5 A:0) (F)

Position: Oppose

Subject: Voting Rights,

[AB 1415](#) ([Adams](#)) Elections: voting procedures. (A-04/13/2009 [html](#) [pdf](#))

Status: 05/01/2009-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(2). (Last location was TRANS. on 04/21/2009)

Summary: Would additionally make a person on probation for the conviction of a felony not eligible to register to vote, and would require elections officials to cancel the voter registration of persons convicted of a felony upon notification of the conviction from the court . This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Vote Events:

04/21/2009 ASM. E. & R. (Y:7 N:0 A:0) (P)
04/21/2009 ASM. E. & R. (Y:2 N:5 A:0) (F)

Position: Oppose

Subject: Voting Rights,

Position: Oppose

Subject: Voting Rights,

[AB 1424](#) ([Knight](#)) Elections: vote by mail. (A-04/13/2009 [html](#) [pdf](#))

Status: 05/01/2009-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(2). (Last location was TRANS. on 04/21/2009)

Summary: Would additionally require that the identification envelope include the last 4 digits of the voter's California driver's license or identification card number or, if unavailable, the last 4 digits of the voter's social security number and a security flap to conceal this information and the voter's signature during mailing . The bill would require the elections official to verify the accuracy of that information before counting the vote and that the voter be notified that the identification envelope will be opened only if this information is verified by the elections official . This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Vote Events:

04/21/2009 ASM. E. & R. (Y:7 N:0 A:0) (P)

04/21/2009 ASM. E. & R. (Y:2 N:5 A:0) (F)

Position: Oppose

Subject: Voting Rights,

ACA 2

(Furutani) Elections: voting age. (I-12/01/2008 [html](#) [pdf](#))

Status: 09/03/2009-To inactive file on motion of Assembly Member Block.

Summary: The California Constitution authorizes any person who is a United States citizen 18 years of age and a resident of the state to vote. This measure additionally would authorize a person who is at least 17 years of age and will be 18 years of age at the time of the next general election to register to vote, subject to compliance with applicable registration requirements, and to vote in that general election and in any intervening primary or special election that occurs after the person registers to vote.

Vote Events:

08/27/2009 ASM. APPR. (Y:11 N:5 A:1) (P)

06/23/2009 ASM. E. & R. (Y:5 N:2 A:0) (P)

Position: Support

Subject: Voting Rights,

SB 370

(Runner) Elections: voting. (A-04/01/2009 [html](#) [pdf](#))

Status: 05/01/2009-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(2). (Last location was E.,R. & C. A. on 03/09/2009)

Summary: Would additionally make a person on probation for the conviction of a felony not eligible to register to vote, and would , as of January 1, 2011, require elections officials to cancel their voter registration upon notice from the court of the conviction . This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Vote Events:

04/21/2009 SEN. E.,R. & C.A. (Y:5 N:0 A:0) (P)

04/21/2009 SEN. E.,R. & C.A. (Y:2 N:3 A:0) (F)

Position: Oppose

Subject: Voting Rights,

SB 387

(Hancock) Ballots: identifying information. (V-08/06/2009 [html](#) [pdf](#))

Status: 08/06/2009-In Senate. To unfinished business. (Veto) VETOED

Summary: Would instead prohibit a voter from placing personal information, as defined, upon a ballot that identifies the voter. The bill would provide that a ballot that contains personal information is not invalid. The bill would delete the requirement that a ballot marked in a manner so as to identify the voter is void and instead require a ballot that contains personal information to be segregated in a specified manner and would require that a duplicate ballot be prepared. By changing the duties of local elections officials, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Governor's Message: I am returning Senate Bill 387 without my signature. The provisions of this bill allowing elections officials to process ballots that contain extraneous non-identifying marks are acceptable; however, I am concerned that remaking a ballot that contains personal identifying information compromises ballot secrecy and increases the opportunity for fraud. For this reason I am unable to sign this bill. Sincerely, Arnold Schwarzenegger

Vote Events:

07/13/2009 ASM. FLOOR (Y:51 N:23 A:6) (P)
 07/01/2009 ASM. APPR. (Y:11 N:4 A:2) (P)
 06/23/2009 ASM. E. & R. (Y:5 N:2 A:0) (P)
 05/14/2009 SEN. FLOOR (Y:25 N:12 A:3) (P)
 04/21/2009 SEN. E.,R. & C.A. (Y:3 N:1 A:1) (P)

Subject: Voting Rights,

SB 465 **(Huff) Voter identification: proof of identity.** (I-02/26/2009 [html](#) [pdf](#))

Status: 05/01/2009-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(2). (Last location was E.,R. & C. A. on 03/12/2009)

Summary: Would also require the person to present proof of his or her identity to a member of the precinct board before receiving a ballot. The bill would require the proof of identity to contain the person's name and photograph, to be either unexpired or expired after the last general election, and to be issued by the United States, the State of California, or a tribal government. The bill would permit a voter who is unable to present proof of identity to cast a provisional ballot and would require the voter to provide identification to the county registrar of voters within 5 business days. The bill would make a violation or attempted violation of the identification requirement a felony. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Position: Oppose

Subject: Voting Rights,

SB 631 **(Walters) Voting: felons.** (A-04/02/2009 [html](#) [pdf](#))

Status: 05/01/2009-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(2). (Last location was E.,R. & C. A. on 03/26/2009)

Summary: Would additionally make a person on probation for the conviction of a felony not eligible to register to vote, and would, as of January 1, 2011, require elections officials to cancel a person's voter registration upon notice from the court of the conviction of a felony. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Vote Events:

04/21/2009 SEN. E.,R. & C.A. (Y:5 N:0 A:0) (P)
 04/21/2009 SEN. E.,R. & C.A. (Y:2 N:3 A:0) (F)

Position: Oppose

Subject: Voting Rights,

Water

AB 1242 **(Ruskin) State water policy.** (V-10/11/2009 [html](#) [pdf](#))

Status: 10/11/2009-Vetoed by the Governor

Summary: Would declare that it is the established policy of the state that every human being has the right to clean, affordable, and accessible water for human consumption, cooking, and sanitary purposes, that is adequate for the health and well-being of the individual and family. The bill would require all relevant state agencies, including the Department of Water Resources, State Water Resources Control Board, and State Department of Public Health, to employ all reasonable means to implement this state policy. Those state agencies would be required to revise, adopt, or establish policies, regulations, and grant criteria to further this state policy, to the extent that those actions do not affect eligibility for federal funds.

Governor's Message: I am returning Assembly Bill 1242 without my signature. This bill would declare it to be the established policy of the State that every human being has the right to clean, affordable, and accessible water for human consumption. Existing law establishes that domestic water use has the highest priority over other water uses. When California's water laws were established in 1943, this policy was enshrined in law. I wholeheartedly support the underlying premise of this bill: We should be doing everything we can to ensure that our communities have access to clean, affordable water for our citizens. But the language of this bill will undoubtedly lead to potentially costly and constant litigation. This moves our limited state resources away from the day to day operations of

achieving our clean water goals and puts them in the courtroom. Additionally, while providing safe drinking water is fundamental to our laws and to human health, this bill would not enhance our current efforts in achieving this goal. The State Water Resources Control Board, the California Department of Public Health, and the Department of Water Resources are actively awarding grant funds and implementing policies and programs to protect and improve the long-term quality of drinking water supplies. Our most pressing barrier in achieving this goal is not desire, it is funding. For this reason, I am signing AB 626 (Eng) and AB 1438 (Conway). AB 626 makes important changes to existing law that increase funding from Proposition 84 for disadvantaged communities in each hydrologic region in the state. AB 1438 modifies the Safe Drinking Water State Revolving Fund to provide more resources to water system operators serving disadvantaged communities that often lack the resources for vital, costly water system improvements. I believe these bills will provide some additional needed tools to achieve the well-intended purpose of this measure. The need for additional funding also places heightened importance on the need for a water infrastructure bond that includes a robust investment in increasing water quality and water supply reliability. For these reasons, I am unable to sign this bill. Sincerely,
Arnold Schwarzenegger

Vote Events:

09/10/2009 ASM. FLOOR (Y:53 N:24 A:3) (P)
 09/09/2009 SEN. FLOOR (Y:23 N:14 A:3) (P)
 08/27/2009 SEN. APPR. (Y:8 N:5 A:0) (P)
 08/17/2009 SEN. APPR. (Y:13 N:0 A:0) (P)
 07/06/2009 SEN. N.R. & W. (Y:7 N:3 A:1) (P)
 05/28/2009 ASM. FLOOR (Y:54 N:25 A:1) (P)
 05/20/2009 ASM. APPR. (Y:12 N:2 A:2) (P)
 04/28/2009 ASM. W.,P. & W. (Y:9 N:3 A:1) (P)

Position: Support

Subject: Water,

[SB 133](#) (**[Corbett](#)**) **Groundwater: wells, exploratory holes, and other excavations.** (C-10/11/2009 [html](#) [pdf](#))

Status: 10/11/2009-Chaptered by the Secretary of State, Chapter Number 563, Statutes of 2009

Summary: Would authorize the Alameda County Water District, within described areas of its jurisdiction, to establish a permit program, and to take related action, with regard to the construction, operation, decommissioning, abandonment, or destruction of wells, exploratory holes, or other excavations for the purpose of protecting groundwater. The district would be authorized to establish a procedure for the abatement as a public nuisance of any abandoned or unused well, exploratory hole, or other excavation that creates or threatens to create a water contamination hazard. The bill would declare that any costs incurred by the district to abate the public nuisance are a lien and would require the district to record with the county any notice of a lien that is prepared by the district. Any person who applies for a land development permit or approval, within the areas described by the bill, would be required to obtain specified documentation from the district with regard to the property proposed to be developed. By imposing requirements on the district in connection with the regulation of wells, exploratory holes, and other excavations, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Vote Events:

08/27/2009 ASM. FLOOR (Y:74 N:0 A:6) (P)
 07/08/2009 ASM. APPR. (Y:15 N:0 A:2) (P)
 06/30/2009 ASM. W.,P. & W. (Y:11 N:0 A:2) (P)
 05/26/2009 SEN. FLOOR (Y:39 N:0 A:1) (P)
 04/27/2009 SEN. E.Q. (Y:7 N:0 A:0) (P)
 03/24/2009 SEN. N.R. & W. (Y:10 N:0 A:1) (P)

Position: Authorize LL to Support

Subject: Water,

[SB 790](#) (**[Pavley](#)**) **Resources: water quality: stormwater resource plans.** (C-10/11/2009 [html](#) [pdf](#))

Status: 10/11/2009-Chaptered by the Secretary of State, Chapter Number 620, Statutes of 2009

Summary: Would also authorize grants for projects designed to implement or promote low-impact development for new or existing developments that will contribute to the improvement of water quality or reduce stormwater runoff and for projects designed to implement specified stormwater resource plans. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Vote Events:

09/08/2009 SEN. FLOOR (Y:28 N:12 A:0) (P)

09/03/2009 ASM. FLOOR (Y:48 N:27 A:5) (P)

08/27/2009 ASM. APPR. (Y:12 N:5 A:0) (P)

06/30/2009 ASM. E.S. & T.M. (Y:5 N:2 A:0) (P)

05/28/2009 SEN. FLOOR (Y:21 N:12 A:7) (P)

04/27/2009 SEN. E.Q. (Y:5 N:2 A:0) (P)

Position: Support

Subject: Water,

Total rows: 53