

Final LWVC Bill Status Report for 2010 October 2010

Air Quality

- [SB 1263](#) ([Wyland R](#)) **California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006: inoperative.**
Current Text: Introduced: 2/19/2010 [pdf](#) [html](#)
Status: 4/23/2010-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(5). (Last location was E.Q. on 3/4/2010)
Location: 4/23/2010-S. DEAD
Summary: Would make the provisions of the California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006, and any regulation adopted pursuant to the act, inoperative.
Position
Oppose

Campaign Financing

- [AB 919](#) ([Nava D](#)) **Corporations: political activities: shareholder objections.**
Current Text: Amended: 6/17/2010 [pdf](#) [html](#)
Status: 7/2/2010-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(13). (Last location was B., F. & I. on 6/17/2010)
Location: 7/2/2010-S. DEAD
Summary: Would require a corporation, as defined, that makes a contribution or expenditure to, or in support of, or in opposition to, a candidate, ballot measure campaign, or a signature-gathering effort on behalf of a ballot measure, political party, or political action committee to report those contributions or expenditures to shareholders by specified means, including posting the report on the corporation's Internet Web site, if any, and to refund to an objecting shareholder, in the form of a dividend, a pro rata share of the dollar value of those contributions or expenditures, based on the shareholder's share ownership. The bill would define, among other terms, "objecting shareholder" and "political activity" for these purposes. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.
Position
Support
- [AB 1181](#) ([Huber D](#)) **Political Reform Act of 1974: statements and reports.**
Current Text: Chaptered: 5/7/2010 [pdf](#) [html](#)
Status: 5/7/2010-Chaptered by the Secretary of State, Chapter Number 18, Statutes of 2010
Location: 5/7/2010-A. CHAPTERED
Summary: Would provide that if a late contribution or late independent expenditure is required to be reported to the Secretary of State, the report to the Secretary of State shall be by online or electronic transmission only. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.
Position
Support
- [AB 1322](#) ([Huffman D](#)) **Political Reform Act of 1974: contributions: disclosures.**
Current Text: Amended: 8/18/2010 [pdf](#) [html](#)
Status: 8/19/2010-Read second time. To third reading.
Location: 8/19/2010-S. THIRD READING
Summary: Dropped because amended 8/18/10 to cover a different subject.
Position
Drop
- [AB 1514](#) ([Hayashi D](#)) **Political Reform Act of 1974: reporting.**
Current Text: Chaptered: 7/16/2010 [pdf](#) [html](#)
Status: 7/15/2010-Chaptered by Secretary of State - Chapter 77, Statutes of 2010.
Location: 7/16/2010-A. CHAPTERED
Summary: Would require a slate mailer organization to file semiannual campaign statements for every 6-month period, irrespective of whether the organization received payments or made expenditures for that period. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.
Position
Support

Children and Family Issues

- [AB 12](#) ([Beall D](#)) **California Fostering Connections to Success Act.**
Current Text: Chaptered: 9/30/2010 [pdf](#) [html](#)
Status: 9/30/2010-Chaptered by the Secretary of State, Chapter Number 559, Statutes of 2010
Location: 9/30/2010-A. CHAPTERED
Summary: Existing law provides for the out-of-home placement of children who are unable to remain

in the custody and care of their parent or parents, and provides for a range of child welfare, foster care, and adoption assistance services for which these children may be eligible. This bill would extend these provisions to also include nonminor dependents commencing January 1, 2012. The bill would define the term "nonminor dependent" and related terms for purposes of the bill. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Attachments:

[Letter to Governor](#)

Position

Support

AB 1048 (Torrico D) Child protection: safe surrender.

Current Text: Chaptered: 9/30/2010 [pdf](#) [html](#)

Status: 9/30/2010-Chaptered by the Secretary of State, Chapter Number 567, Statutes of 2010

Location: 9/30/2010-A. CHAPTERED

Summary: Would require a designating entity to consult with the governing body of a city, if the site is within city limits, and with representatives of the applicable fire department and child welfare agency, as specified. The bill would permit a local fire agency, upon the approval of the appropriate local governing body of the agency, to designate a safe-surrender site. The bill would specify certain circumstances in which a safe-surrender site and its personnel have no liability for a surrendered child. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Position

Support

Climate Change

SB 1263 (Wyland R) California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006: inoperative.

Current Text: Introduced: 2/19/2010 [pdf](#) [html](#)

Status: 4/23/2010-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(5). (Last location was E.Q. on 3/4/2010)

Location: 4/23/2010-S. DEAD

Summary: Would make the provisions of the California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006, and any regulation adopted pursuant to the act, inoperative.

Position

Oppose

Coastal Issues

AJR 3 (Nava D) Offshore oil drilling.

Current Text: Amended: 5/26/2010 [pdf](#) [html](#)

Status: 8/31/2010-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(17). (Last location was INACTIVE FILE on 8/18/2010)

Location: 8/31/2010-S. DEAD

Summary: Would memorialize the Legislature's support of legislation currently pending in the United States Congress that would protect the Pacific Coast from new offshore oil drilling. This measure would also memorialize the Legislature's opposition to the proposed expansion of oil and gas drilling off the Pacific Coast and any federal energy policies and legislation that would weaken California's role in energy siting decisions due to those policies.

Position

Support

Community College System

SB 1440 (Padilla D) California Community Colleges: student transfer.

Current Text: Chaptered: 9/29/2010 [pdf](#) [html](#)

Status: 9/29/2010-Chaptered by the Secretary of State, Chapter Number 428, Statutes of 2010

Location: 9/29/2010-S. CHAPTERED

Summary: Would enact the Student Transfer Achievement Reform Act, which, commencing with the 2011-12 academic year, would require a student that receives an associate degree for transfer to be deemed eligible for transfer into a California State University baccalaureate program when the student meets prescribed requirements. The bill would condition a community college district's receipt of state apportionment funds on its development and granting of associate degrees for transfer, unless each of the state's community college districts waives reimbursement for specified state-mandated costs of implementing the bill in accordance with a prescribed procedure. This bill would prohibit a community college district from imposing any requirements, in addition to these requirements, for a student to be eligible for the associate degree for transfer, and would prohibit remedial noncollegiate level coursework from being counted towards the units required for the associate degree for transfer. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Position

Support

[ACA 10](#)

(Torlakson D) Taxation: Education Finance District: special tax.

Current Text: Amended: 4/5/2010 [pdf](#) [html](#)

Status: 10/6/2010-Action From THIRD READING: To THIRD READING.

Location: 10/7/2010-A. THIRD READING

Summary: Would instead condition the imposition, extension, or increase of a special tax by a school district upon the approval of a majority of the voters of the district voting on that tax, and would also make conforming changes to related provisions.

Position

Support

[SB 847](#)

(Steinberg D) Education finance.

Current Text: Chaptered: 9/10/2010 [pdf](#) [html](#)

Status: 9/10/2010-Chaptered by the Secretary of State, Chapter Number 220, Statutes of 2010

Location: 9/10/2010-S. CHAPTERED

Summary: Would appropriate \$1,201,534,585 from the Federal Trust Fund to the Office of Planning and Research for the 2010-11 fiscal year upon notification to the state of a funding award pursuant to the federal Education Jobs and Medicaid Assistance Act. From that amount, the bill would require the Office of Planning and Research to transfer \$1,201,406,585 to the State Department of Education for purposes of implementing that federal act and make \$128,000 available to the Office of Planning and Research for the purposes of providing oversight of funds allocated to local educational agencies pursuant to the bill. Of the amount transferred to the department, the bill would require that \$1,199,906,585 be allocated to local educational agencies, as specified, and would make \$1,500,000 available to the department for administrative purposes related to that allocation. This bill contains other related provisions.

Attachments:

[Letter to author](#)

Position

Support

[SB 1357](#)

(Steinberg D) California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System.

Current Text: Chaptered: 9/30/2010 [pdf](#) [html](#)

Status: 9/30/2010-Chaptered by the Secretary of State, Chapter Number 704, Statutes of 2010

Location: 9/30/2010-S. CHAPTERED

Summary: Would require the department, contingent on federal funding for this purpose and in consultation with the Department of Finance and the Legislative Analyst's Office, to prepare CALPADS to include data on a quarterly rate of pupil attendance. The bill would require that CALPADS be capable of issuing to local educational agencies periodic reports on district, school, class, and individual pupil rates of absence and chronic absentees, as defined. The bill would state the intent of the Legislature to support the development of early warning systems to identify and support individual pupils who are at risk of academic failure or of dropping out of school. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Governor's Message: To the Members of the California State Senate: I am signing Senate Bill 1357, but with some level of concern. As I have said before, my goal is for California to have a comprehensive education data system that is useful, transparent, and easily accessible for parents, teachers, administrators, and policymakers. However, this bill takes the focus away from the realities of our current state of affairs. The inclusion of data relating to students who are chronically absent may be an important element that could be integrated into the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS), but right now I have serious concerns about the frustrating delays that schools, teachers, and parents have had to endure because of the inability for the California Department of Education (CDE) to adequately oversee the implementation of the system. It is yet to be seen whether the problems between the CDE and the vendor in providing an appropriate level of technical expertise and oversight on the project have been resolved. Under State Chief Information Officer Teri Takai's leadership, California has made great strides in improving the operation and efficiency of IT in state government, including this year having the number one ranked website in the nation. I encourage the State Superintendent and California Department of Education to utilize the talent and experience offered by our technology experts to help make the CALPADS project a success, and ensure that California develops a robust statewide education data system. Sincerely, Arnold Schwarzenegger

Attachments:

[Letter to Governor](#)

[Partnership letter to Governor](#)

Position

Support

[SCA 6](#)

(Simitian D) Taxation: educational entities: parcel tax.

Current Text: Amended: 7/15/2010 [pdf](#) [html](#)

Status: 10/6/2010-Action From THIRD READING: To THIRD READING.

Location: 10/7/2010-S. THIRD READING

Summary: Would alternatively condition the imposition, extension, or increase of a parcel tax, as

defined, by a school district, community college district, or county office of education upon the approval of 55% of its voters voting on the proposition, if the proposition meets specified requirements. This measure would also make conforming changes to related provisions.

Position

Support

Election Systems

[AB 1121](#) **(Davis D) Elections: ranked voting.**

Current Text: Amended: 8/25/2009 [pdf](#) [html](#)

Status: 8/31/2010-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(17). (Last location was THIRD READING on 9/3/2009)

Location: 8/31/2010-S. DEAD

Summary: Would authorize the Secretary of State to approve not more than 12 cities or counties, in total, to conduct a local election using ranked voting if specified conditions are met. The bill would specify requirements for using ranked voting in both a single-candidate election and a multiple-candidate election. The bill would require local elections officials to make certain reports relating to ranked voting elections and would also require those cities and counties to report on the success of conducting those elections to the Legislative Analyst, who would be required to report to the Legislature and make recommendations on the ranked voting elections. The bill would end the authority of cities and counties to adopt ranked voting on January 1, 2019, unless a later statute enacted prior to January 1, 2019, deletes or extends that date, but would allow such elections to be conducted until January 1, 2024.

Position

Support

Energy

[SB 1263](#) **(Wyland R) California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006: inoperative.**

Current Text: Introduced: 2/19/2010 [pdf](#) [html](#)

Status: 4/23/2010-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(5). (Last location was E.Q. on 3/4/2010)

Location: 4/23/2010-S. DEAD

Summary: Would make the provisions of the California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006, and any regulation adopted pursuant to the act, inoperative.

Position

Oppose

Health Care

[SB 810](#) **(Leno D) Single-payer health care coverage.**

Current Text: Amended: 1/13/2010 [pdf](#) [html](#)

Status: 10/6/2010-Action From THIRD READING: To THIRD READING.

Location: 10/7/2010-A. THIRD READING

Summary: Would establish the California Healthcare System to be administered by the newly created California Healthcare Agency under the control of a Healthcare Commissioner appointed by the Governor and subject to confirmation by the Senate. The bill would make all California residents eligible for specified health care benefits under the California Healthcare System, which would, on a single-payer basis, negotiate for or set fees for health care services provided through the system and pay claims for those services. The bill would provide that a resident of the state with a household income, as specified, at or below 200% of the federal poverty level would be eligible for the type of benefits provided under the Medi-Cal program. The bill would require the commissioner to seek all necessary waivers, exemptions, agreements, or legislation to allow various existing federal, state, and local health care payments to be paid to the California Healthcare System, which would then assume responsibility for all benefits and services previously paid for with those funds. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Position

Support

Initiative and Referendum Process

[AB 1832](#) **(Saldana D) Initiative measures: filing fee.**

Current Text: Vetoed: 9/24/2010 [pdf](#) [html](#)

Status: 9/24/2010-Vetoed by the Governor

Location: 9/24/2010-A. VETOED

Summary: Would increase the amount of the fee to \$500 beginning in 2011, \$1,000 beginning in 2013, \$1,500 beginning in 2015, and \$2,000 beginning in 2017.

Governor's Message: I am returning Assembly Bill 1832 without my signature. This bill gradually

increases the current fee that proponents of an initiative are required to pay when submitting a draft of a measure to the Attorney General. The increase goes from the current \$200 fee up to \$2,000 in 2017. One of the reasons stated for this legislation is that the current fee does not cover the costs to prepare a title and summary for an initiative. As I stated when vetoing similar legislation last year, the fee was initially established to deter frivolous filings and I cannot support changing the purpose of the fee from this original intent. While the argument may be made that the current fee is no longer a sufficient deterrent, I cannot support increasing the fee ten-fold. While well-funded special interest groups would have no problem paying the sharply increased fee, it will make it more difficult for citizen groups to qualify an initiative. Therefore, I am unable to sign this bill. Sincerely, Arnold Schwarzenegger

Attachments:

[Letter to Governor](#)

Position

Support

Juvenile Justice/Dependency

[AB 12](#)

(Beall D) California Fostering Connections to Success Act.

Current Text: Chaptered: 9/30/2010 [pdf](#) [html](#)

Status: 9/30/2010-Chaptered by the Secretary of State, Chapter Number 559, Statutes of 2010

Location: 9/30/2010-A. CHAPTERED

Summary: Existing law provides for the out-of-home placement of children who are unable to remain in the custody and care of their parent or parents, and provides for a range of child welfare, foster care, and adoption assistance services for which these children may be eligible. This bill would extend these provisions to also include nonminor dependents commencing January 1, 2012. The bill would define the term "nonminor dependent" and related terms for purposes of the bill. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Attachments:

[Letter to Governor](#)

Position

Support

[AB 999](#)

(Skinner D) Juveniles: Division of Juvenile Facilities.

Current Text: Amended: 8/20/2010 [pdf](#) [html](#)

Status: 8/31/2010-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(17). (Last location was INACTIVE FILE on 8/26/2010)

Location: 8/31/2010-S. DEAD

Summary: Would prohibit the department from extending a ward's parole consideration date, and would require the department to promulgate regulations to establish a process for granting a ward whose parole consideration hearing date was delayed due to disciplinary sanctions prior to January 1, 2011, a reduction of up to 100% of any time acquired for disciplinary matters.

Position

Support

[AB 1048](#)

(Torrice D) Child protection: safe surrender.

Current Text: Chaptered: 9/30/2010 [pdf](#) [html](#)

Status: 9/30/2010-Chaptered by the Secretary of State, Chapter Number 567, Statutes of 2010

Location: 9/30/2010-A. CHAPTERED

Summary: Would require a designating entity to consult with the governing body of a city, if the site is within city limits, and with representatives of the applicable fire department and child welfare agency, as specified. The bill would permit a local fire agency, upon the approval of the appropriate local governing body of the agency, to designate a safe-surrender site. The bill would specify certain circumstances in which a safe-surrender site and its personnel have no liability for a surrendered child. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Position

Support

[SB 399](#)

(Yee D) Sentencing.

Current Text: Amended: 8/20/2010 [pdf](#) [html](#)

Status: 8/31/2010-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(17). (Last location was UNFINISHED BUSINESS on 8/30/2010)

Location: 8/31/2010-S. DEAD

Summary: Would authorize a prisoner who was under 18 years of age at the time of committing an offense for which the prisoner was sentenced to life without parole to submit a petition for recall and resentencing to the sentencing court, and to the prosecuting agency, as specified. The bill would establish certain criteria, at least one of which shall be asserted in the petition, to be considered when a court decides whether to conduct a hearing on the petition for recall and resentencing and additional criteria to be considered by the court when deciding whether to grant the petition. The bill would require the court to hold a hearing if the court finds that the defendant's statement is true, as specified. The bill would apply retroactively, as specified. This bill contains other related provisions.

Position

Land Use

[AB 1805](#) (Calderon, Charles D) Environment: California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).**Current Text:** Introduced: 2/10/2010 [pdf](#) [html](#)**Status:** 4/19/2010-Apr. 19 In committee: Hearing for testimony only.**Location:** 4/20/2010-A. NAT. RES.

Summary: Would enact the CEQA Litigation Protection Pilot Program of 2010 and would require the Business, Transportation and Housing Agency to select projects that meet specified requirements from specified regions for each calendar year between 2010 and 2014. The bill would exempt from judicial review, pursuant to CEQA, a lead agency's decision to certify the EIR of, or to adopt a mitigated negative declaration based on an initial study for, the selected projects, a lead agency's and responsible agency's approval of the selected project, and the Business, Transportation and Housing Agency's selection of the projects. The bill would require the Business, Transportation and Housing Agency, by December 31 of each year, to submit an annual report to the Governor and to the Legislature summarizing the designation of projects, and the job creation and investment attributable to the designated projects. This bill contains other related provisions.

Position

Oppose

[SB 1010](#) (Correa D) Environment: California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).**Current Text:** Introduced: 2/10/2010 [pdf](#) [html](#)**Status:** 4/5/2010-Apr. 5 Set, first hearing. Failed passage in committee. (Ayes 2. Noes 4. Page 3083.) Reconsideration granted.**Location:** 4/5/2010-S. E.Q.

Summary: Would enact the CEQA Litigation Protection Pilot Program of 2010 and would require the Business, Transportation and Housing Agency to select projects that meet specified requirements from specified regions for each calendar year between 2010 and 2014. The bill would exempt from judicial review, pursuant to CEQA, a lead agency's decision to certify the EIR of, or to adopt a mitigated negative declaration based on an initial study for, the selected projects, a lead agency's and responsible agency's approval of the selected project, and the Business, Transportation and Housing Agency's selection of the projects. The bill would require the Business, Transportation and Housing Agency, by December 31 of each year, to submit an annual report to the Governor and to the Legislature summarizing the designation of projects, and the job creation and investment attributable to the designated projects. This bill contains other related provisions.

Position

Oppose

State and Local Finances

[AB 1604](#) (Nava D) Taxation: Oil Industry Fair Share Act.**Current Text:** Amended: 5/12/2010 [pdf](#) [html](#)**Status:** 5/13/2010-May 13 Re-referred to Com. on REV. & TAX.**Location:** 5/13/2010-A. REV. & TAX

Summary: Would impose a tax upon any producer for the privilege of severing oil from the earth or water in this state for sale, transport, consumption, storage, profit, or use, as provided, at the rate of 10% of the gross value of each barrel of oil severed. The tax would be administered by the State Board of Equalization and would be collected pursuant to the procedures set forth in the Fee Collection Procedures Law. This bill would require the Division of Oil, Gas, and Geothermal Resources in the Department of Conservation to make specified certifications and determinations regarding certain oil wells, and to notify the board of the certification or determination. The bill would require the board to deposit all revenues collected pursuant to these provisions, less refunds and reimbursement to the board for expenses incurred in the administration and collection of the tax, into the General Fund. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Position

Support

[AB 1836](#) (Furutani D) Personal income tax: rates.**Current Text:** Amended: 4/5/2010 [pdf](#) [html](#)**Status:** 5/10/2010-May 10 In committee: Set, second hearing. Held under submission.**Location:** 5/10/2010-A. REV. & TAX

Summary: Would declare that it is the intent of the Legislature to reinstate income tax brackets for the highest income earners to address the state's budget problems. This bill contains other related provisions.

Position

Support

[AB 1935](#) (De Leon D) Corporate income tax: single sales factor.**Current Text:** Introduced: 2/17/2010 [pdf](#) [html](#)

Status: 5/28/2010-May 28 In committee: Set, second hearing. Held under submission.

Location: 5/28/2010-A. APPR. SUSPENSE FILE

Summary: Would for a taxpayer that would otherwise be able to elect to have its income apportioned in accordance with a single sales factor formula, require the taxpayer to apportion its income in accordance with a single sales factor formula. This bill contains other related provisions.

Position

Support

[AB 1936](#) **(De Leon D) Income taxation: deductions: net operating loss carrybacks.**

Current Text: Introduced: 2/17/2010 [pdf](#) [html](#)

Status: 5/28/2010-May 28 In committee: Set, second hearing. Held under submission.

Location: 5/28/2010-A. APPR. SUSPENSE FILE

Summary: Would disallow the use of net operating loss carrybacks by individual and corporate taxpayers. This bill contains other related provisions.

Position

Support

[ACA 9](#) **(Huffman D) Local government bonds: special taxes: voter approval.**

Current Text: Amended: 6/26/2009 [pdf](#) [html](#)

Status: 8/31/2010-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(17). (Last location was INACTIVE FILE on 1/14/2010)

Location: 8/31/2010-A. DEAD

Summary: Would create an additional exception to the 1% limit for a rate imposed by a city, county, city and county, or special district to service bonded indebtedness, incurred to fund specified public improvements, facilities or buildings, and housing, and related costs, that is approved by 55% of the voters of the city, county, city and county, or special district, as applicable. This additional exception would apply only if the proposition approved by the voters results in bonded indebtedness that includes specified accountability requirements. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Position

Support

[ACA 10](#) **(Torlakson D) Taxation: Education Finance District: special tax.**

Current Text: Amended: 4/5/2010 [pdf](#) [html](#)

Status: 10/6/2010-Action From THIRD READING: To THIRD READING.

Location: 10/7/2010-A. THIRD READING

Summary: Would instead condition the imposition, extension, or increase of a special tax by a school district upon the approval of a majority of the voters of the district voting on that tax, and would also make conforming changes to related provisions.

Position

Support

[SB 1020](#) **(Wolk D) State Budget.**

Current Text: Amended: 8/2/2010 [pdf](#) [html](#)

Status: 8/2/2010-From committee with author's amendments. Read second time. Amended. Re-referred to Com. on BUDGET. (August 2 amended measure version corrected August 3.)

Location: 8/2/2010-A. BUDGET

Summary: Would require that the budget submitted by the Governor to the Legislature for the 2014-15 fiscal year and each fiscal year thereafter be developed pursuant to performance-based budgeting, as defined, for each state agency. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Position

Support

[SB 1272](#) **(Wolk D) Income and corporation taxes: credits: information and operative limitations.**

Current Text: Vetoed: 9/24/2010 [pdf](#) [html](#)

Status: 9/24/2010-Vetoed by the Governor

Location: 9/24/2010-S. VETOED

Summary: Would for taxable years beginning on or after January 1, 2011, require any bill that would authorize a personal income or corporation tax credit to contain, among other provisions, (1) specified goals, purposes, and objectives that the tax credit will achieve, (2) detailed performance indicators to measure whether the tax credit is meeting those goals, purposes, and objectives, and (3) a requirement that the tax credit cease to be operative 7 taxable years after its effective date, as specified.

Governor's Message: I am returning Senate Bill 1272 without my signature. While the sponsors seem intent on eliminating measures that will generate jobs and stimulate the economy, the average California taxpayer would probably be better served if the Legislature were willing to automatically sunset every new spending entitlement, program expansion and business mandate after 7 years. For this reason, I am unable to sign this bill. Sincerely, Arnold Schwarzenegger

Position

Support

[SB 1426](#) **(DeSaulnier D) State budget.**

Current Text: Amended: 8/9/2010 [pdf](#) [html](#)

Status: 8/18/2010-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(14). (Last location was B. & F. on 8/9/2010)

Location: 8/18/2010-A. DEAD

Summary: Would instead require the budget submitted by the Governor to contain itemized statements, provisional language, performance measurement standards for state agencies and programs, recommended state expenditures, and a projection of anticipated state revenues, including revenues anticipated to be one-time revenues. In addition, the bill would require the budget to contain an estimate of the total resources available for the state expenditures recommended for the budget year and the succeeding fiscal year, and would further require the budget to contain a projection of anticipated state expenditures and anticipated state revenues for the 3 fiscal years following the fiscal year succeeding the budget year, along with budget-related plans and proposals for those 3 fiscal years. In the event recommended expenditures exceed estimated revenues, the Governor would be required to recommend reductions in expenditures or the sources from which the additional revenues should be provided and to include an estimate of the long-term impact that the expenditure reductions or additional revenues will have on the state economy. The Governor would also be required to submit with the budget any legislation necessary to implement appropriations contained in the budget, together with a 5-year capital infrastructure and strategic growth plan. If the Governor's budget proposes to create a new state program or agency, or to expand the scope of an existing state program or agency, resulting in a net increase in state costs during the budget year or the succeeding fiscal year, or proposes to reduce a state tax resulting in a net decrease in state revenue in the budget year or the succeeding fiscal year, the proposal would be required to be accompanied by a statement identifying state program reductions or sources of additional state revenue in an amount that is equal to or greater than the net increase in state costs or net decrease in state revenue. The bill would also require the Director of Finance to provide to the Legislature, on or before October 15 of each year, updated projections of state revenues and state expenditures for the current fiscal year and for the ensuing fiscal year. This bill contains other related provisions.

Position

Support

[SCA 5](#)

(Hancock D) State budget.

Current Text: Introduced: 12/2/2008 [pdf](#) [html](#)

Status: 9/1/2009-Read second time. To third reading.

Location: 9/1/2009-S. THIRD READING

Summary: Would exempt General Fund appropriations in the Budget Bill from the 2/3 vote requirement. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Position

Support

[SCA 6](#)

(Simitian D) Taxation: educational entities: parcel tax.

Current Text: Amended: 7/15/2010 [pdf](#) [html](#)

Status: 10/6/2010-Action From THIRD READING: To THIRD READING.

Location: 10/7/2010-S. THIRD READING

Summary: Would alternatively condition the imposition, extension, or increase of a parcel tax, as defined, by a school district, community college district, or county office of education upon the approval of 55% of its voters voting on the proposition, if the proposition meets specified requirements. This measure would also make conforming changes to related provisions.

Position

Support

[SCA 9](#)

(Ducheny D) Finance: state budget: taxes.

Current Text: Introduced: 1/26/2009 [pdf](#) [html](#)

Status: 4/22/2010-Hearing postponed by committee. (Refers to 4/22/2010 hearing)

Location: 4/12/2010-S. B. & F.R.

Summary: Would also exempt from this 2/3-vote requirement appropriations made in a Budget Bill, and appropriations made in a bill identified in the Budget Bill as containing only changes in law necessary to implement the Budget Bill. Instead, this measure would require that a Budget Bill, and any bill identified in the Budget Bill as containing only changes in law necessary to implement the Budget Bill, be passed by a 55% vote in each house. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Position

Support

Voting Rights

[AB 1531](#)

(Portantino D) Voter registration: one-stop voting.

Current Text: Amended: 6/23/2010 [pdf](#) [html](#)

Status: 8/31/2010-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(17). (Last location was APPR. on 8/12/2010)

Location: 8/31/2010-S. DEAD

Summary: Would establish one-stop voting whereby a person would be permitted to register to vote and immediately vote on election day or at any time prior to election day when ballots may be cast.

The bill would require a voter, in order to register and vote by means of one-stop voting, to visit a location at which one-stop voting is available, to present proof of identity and current residence, as specified, and to complete an affidavit of registration. Upon completing that registration, the voter would be immediately eligible to vote by regular ballot. If the voter is unable to complete that registration because the voter is unable to present proof of identity or proof of current residence, the voter would be permitted to register and vote by provisional ballot. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Position

Support

[ACA 2](#)

(Furutani D) Elections: voting age.

Current Text: Introduced: 12/1/2008 [pdf](#) [html](#)

Status: 10/6/2010-Action From THIRD READING: To THIRD READING.

Location: 10/7/2010-A. THIRD READING

Summary: The California Constitution authorizes any person who is a United States citizen 18 years of age and a resident of the state to vote. This measure additionally would authorize a person who is at least 17 years of age and will be 18 years of age at the time of the next general election to register to vote, subject to compliance with applicable registration requirements, and to vote in that general election and in any intervening primary or special election that occurs after the person registers to vote.

Position

Support

[SB 1140](#)

(Yee D) Voter registration: one-stop voting.

Current Text: Amended: 6/28/2010 [pdf](#) [html](#)

Status: 8/31/2010-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(17). (Last location was APPR. on 8/12/2010)

Location: 8/31/2010-A. DEAD

Summary: Would establish one-stop voting whereby a person would be permitted to register to vote and immediately vote on election day or at any time prior to election day when ballots may be cast. The bill would require a voter, in order to register and vote by means of one-stop voting, to visit a location at which one-stop voting is available, to present proof of identity and current residence, as specified, and to complete an affidavit of registration. Upon completing that registration, the voter would be immediately eligible to vote by regular ballot. If the voter is unable to complete that registration because the voter is unable to present proof of identity or proof of current residence, the voter would be permitted to register and vote by provisional ballot. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Position

Support

[SB 1342](#)

(Simitian D) Election precincts.

Current Text: Chaptered: 7/16/2010 [pdf](#) [html](#)

Status: 7/15/2010-Chaptered by Secretary of State - Chapter 111, Statutes of 2010.

Location: 7/16/2010-S. CHAPTERED

Summary: Would authorize a local elections official to subtract permanent vote by mail voters from that total when specified conditions are met.

Position

Support

Total Measures: 40

Total Tracking Forms: 40